The number of senior citizens in Alaska and the percentage of our population they represent are both growing. More seniors are also working past retirement age nationwide — some by choice, and some by necessity. Because of this trend and the growth that will continue for the next couple of decades, seniors are becoming an increasingly large and important part of our state’s worker pool.

The shift to more Alaskans who are 65 and older will require all of us to adapt to a changing population and workforce, and it’s also critical we recognize the opportunity this presents.

Business leaders are competing for talent both nationally and globally, and right now we have more jobs than qualified workers to fill them. Many older people have valuable technical and journey-level skills in high-demand fields. Others have years of career experience and may simply need to upgrade their computer skills or get comfortable navigating online job search portals.

Employers should also recognize the value older workers can bring to their organizations. Businesses who hire older people and provide the opportunity to further their training or education often gain loyal and dedicated employees. In addition to their experience, older workers can bring a strong work ethic and maturity to an organization as well as a stability that can help reduce turnover. Multiple generations working together can also add diversity and depth to the workplace.

The Department of Labor and Workforce Development recognizes the benefits of hiring older workers as well as the need to focus on career development for the current and future workforce, and we design our programs with the larger battle for skilled workers in mind. For example, for many years our MASST program (Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training) has helped workers 55 and older gain employability skills and find suitable work in the current labor market. This program, administered by Alaska Job Center Network staff, includes a variety of training providers and educators who develop curriculum based on helping mid-career workers and seniors make a smooth transition if they continue working.

As commissioner, I would like to thank every MASST trainee serving in a local job center or host site as well as the many staff members across the state dedicated to helping older workers develop skills and find rewarding employment. I also encourage older Alaskans who want to return to work or move up by upgrading their skills to contact our department about the range of resources available to help them succeed.

Contact Dr. Tamika L. Ledbetter, Commissioner, at (907) 465-2700 or commissioner.labor@alaska.gov.

Follow the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development on Twitter (twitter.com/alaskalabor) and Facebook (facebook.com/alaskalabor).
ALASKANS 65 AND OLDER

Our current senior citizen population and how it’s changing

CRAIG, ALASKA

Prince of Wales town’s transition from cannery, logging booms

GAUGING ALASKA’s ECONOMY

Trends is a nonpartisan, data-driven magazine that covers a range of economic topics in Alaska.

If you have questions or comments, contact editor Sara Whitney at sara.whitney@alaska.gov or (907) 465-6561.

This material is public information, and with appropriate credit it may be reproduced without permission.

To sign up for a free electronic subscription, read past issues online, or purchase a print subscription, visit labor.alaska.gov/trends.
The number of Alaskans who are 65 or older is growing rapidly in all regions of the state. This shift to larger senior populations across Alaska will play an important role in shaping our communities and households in the coming years.

What’s behind the aging trend

The number of senior citizens has increased by more than 5 percent each year since 2010, faster than any other state. As of 2018, Alaska had an estimated 87,304 seniors, up from 54,938 eight years before, and we project the state will have more than 138,000 seniors by 2035.

The statewide growth in the 65-plus population is a long-term trend, but since 2011 it’s been amplified as Alaska’s especially large population of baby boomers has begun to reach 65. (See exhibits 1 and 2.) Many baby boomers, born between 1946 and 1964, settled here as young adults during the state’s economic booms of the 1970s and 1980s.

Alaska’s negative net migration trend has also contributed to this shift to an older population. Since 2013, Alaska has lost substantially more people to migration each year than it’s gained, leading to little or no total population growth. In general, lower growth — whether due to slowed birth rates or migration losses — means a larger share of seniors, because older people move less and yearly migration swings affect younger age groups more.

What Alaska’s senior population looks like now

A smaller share than nationwide

While Alaska’s senior population is increasing both numerically and as a percentage of the state, seniors are still a smaller share of our population than they are nationwide. (See Exhibit 3.) In fact, the U.S. Census Bureau’s latest estimates show Alaska has the second-smallest senior population relative to its total in the country. Our share was smallest until 2016.

Slightly more women than men

Older populations typically have more women than men, and this holds for Alaska, where the total ratio of males to females in 2018 was 1.06 and for senior citizens it was 0.99. The latest estimates for the U.S. were 0.97 and 0.8, respectively, in 2017.

Young age groups skew male because the sex ratio at
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Birth is about 1.05, while older age groups skew female due to their longer life expectancy. Job-related migration can markedly affect this ratio too, as we see with Alaska’s military population, which is disproportionately male, and industries that have more men, such as fishing and mining.

**Larger percentages in Southeast, Kenai**

While 81 percent of Alaska’s seniors live in the state’s population centers, Southeast and the Kenai Peninsula have the highest concentrations. (See exhibits 4 and 13.) In Southeast, seniors already make up more than 20 percent of the population in Haines, Wrangell, and the Hoonah-Angoon Census Area.

Between 2010 and 2018, the Interior, Anchorage/Matanuska-Susitna, and Gulf Coast regions gained the most seniors in percent as well as numeric terms, each leaping by over 60 percent. Anchorage/Mat-Su alone added more than 17,000 seniors, representing over half the state’s overall increase.

**More white in recent years**

While Alaska’s senior population is increasing in size, it hasn’t become more racially diverse in recent years as other age groups have. The percentage of seniors who are white increased slightly, from 74 percent in 2010 to 75 percent in 2015. (See Exhibit 5.) Nationwide, the senior population became less white, declining from 80 percent to 78 percent.

The reason for the difference in Alaska is our baby boom generation, many of whom moved up from the Lower 48 during the ‘70s and ‘80s, is more white than the generation before it. Diversity will increase among seniors in Alaska in the long-term, however, as younger generations age.

**Nearly one in four live alone**

About 23 percent of Alaska seniors live alone, according to 2013 to 2017 estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey. (See Exhibit 6.) That’s a bit lower than the nationwide 26 percent but much higher than the state overall (9 percent).

Most seniors who live alone are women (57 percent). Women live longer, but it’s also because husbands

---

**Age Group Shifts in Alaska and the U.S.**
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tend to be a bit older than their wives and men are more likely to remarry.

Just over two-thirds of seniors in Alaska live with family, and it’s around 80 percent for the overall population, shares that are about the same nationwide.

Only 3 percent of Alaska’s senior citizens live in “group quarters,” which includes nursing homes and dormitory-style living facilities, and this is also in line with the nation. Apartment-style assisted living isn’t considered group quarters.

**Most have been married**

Even though about a fourth of Alaska seniors live alone, more are married and the vast majority were married at some point. (See Exhibit 7.) About 52 percent are married (not separated), compared to 45 percent of the population age 15 and older.

Divorced and widowed shares are higher among seniors too, especially for women: 29 percent of women 65-plus are widowed and 20 percent are divorced, and for men it’s 10 percent and 16 percent.

**More own than rent homes**

Eighty-two percent of Alaska seniors live in owner-occupied homes compared to 64 percent of Alaskans

**More Alaska Seniors Went to College**

**EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT IN U.S. AND ALASKA BY AGE, 2013-2017 ACS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>Margin of Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population 25 years and over</td>
<td>216,271,644</td>
<td>+/-15,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not graduate high school</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma or equivalent</td>
<td>27.3%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college or associate degree</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree or higher</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2013 to 2017

### Income and Poverty Among Seniors in the U.S. and Alaska

#### 2013-2017 ACS

**Income in the past 12 months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>Margin of Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households</td>
<td>118,825,921</td>
<td>+/-229,026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households with earnings</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean earnings</td>
<td>$83,186</td>
<td>+/-163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households with Social Security income</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Social Security income</td>
<td>$18,778</td>
<td>+/-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households w/ Supplemental Security income</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Supplemental Security income</td>
<td>$9,743</td>
<td>+/-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households with cash public assistance income</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cash public assistance income</td>
<td>$3,230</td>
<td>+/-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households with retirement income</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean retirement income</td>
<td>$25,798</td>
<td>+/-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households with food stamp/SNAP benefits</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median household income</td>
<td>$57,652</td>
<td>+/-138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Poverty status in the past 12 months**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>Margin of Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population with determined poverty status</td>
<td>313,048,563</td>
<td>+/-10,099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below 100 percent of the poverty level</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>+/-0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** All earnings and income are adjusted to 2017 dollars.

*Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 2013 to 2017*

---

Over all, and 18 percent live in rentals. The average size of senior households is 2.1 people, and in keeping with Alaska’s larger average households in general, this is a bit higher than for all U.S. seniors (1.9).

Seven percent of Alaska seniors live with grandchildren — 5 percent nationally — and about 2 percent are responsible for their care. More than three-quarters of co-resident grandparents are under 65, however, and caregiving by co-resident grandparents decreases with age.

**Disabilities increase at higher ages**

Thirty-nine percent of Alaska seniors have a disability of some form, which the U.S. Census Bureau determines using six questions on hearing, vision, cognitive ability, ambulatory ability, self care, and living independently. (See Exhibit 8.)

Ambulatory disabilities, which include difficulty walking or climbing stairs, affect 17 percent of those from 65 to 74 and 37 percent of those over 75. Difficulty hearing affects 16 percent and 31 percent, respectively.

Given that rates of disability increase with age, it’s worth noting Alaska’s current senior population is relatively young. A large share are in their 60s or early 70s, so the numbers of Alaskans with disabilities will increase in the near future as the state’s percentage of the most elderly seniors increases.

**More have gone to college**

More seniors have college degrees in Alaska than they do nationwide, at 30 percent compared to 26 percent. (See Exhibit 9.) The Alaska percentage is close to that of the total population over age 25, but nationally, the share of seniors who have a bachelor’s degree is 5 per-
cent less than the total population.

More Alaskan seniors went to college in general, too. About 31 percent have an associate degree or some college, compared to 25 percent nationwide.

**Working and income**

Although seniors are less likely to work than younger adults, they’ve become more likely to continue working in recent decades. Labor force participation — working or looking for work — among seniors

Continued on page 18

### Steady Increases in Native Seniors

**ALASKA, 2010 TO 2045**

![Graph showing steady increases in Native Seniors from 2010 to 2045](chart)

*Notes: Values for 2020 and 2045 are projections. Alaska Native includes Native alone or in combination with another race.*

*Sources: U.S. Census Bureau; and Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section*

### Alaska’s Senior Populations by Borough or Census Area

**ALASKA, 2010 TO 2040**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>54,938</td>
<td>87,304</td>
<td>97,628</td>
<td>136,415</td>
<td>134,957</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage/Matanuska-Susitna Region</td>
<td>28,208</td>
<td>45,537</td>
<td>51,007</td>
<td>73,291</td>
<td>75,461</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage, Municipality</td>
<td>21,139</td>
<td>32,892</td>
<td>36,931</td>
<td>51,915</td>
<td>51,539</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matanuska-Susitna Borough</td>
<td>7,069</td>
<td>12,645</td>
<td>14,076</td>
<td>21,376</td>
<td>23,942</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf Coast Region</td>
<td>7,991</td>
<td>12,857</td>
<td>14,142</td>
<td>18,813</td>
<td>17,680</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenai Peninsula Borough</td>
<td>6,276</td>
<td>10,248</td>
<td>11,086</td>
<td>14,476</td>
<td>13,840</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kodiak Island Borough</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>1,445</td>
<td>1,654</td>
<td>2,355</td>
<td>2,173</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdez-Cordova Census Area</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1,164</td>
<td>1,402</td>
<td>1,982</td>
<td>1,667</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior Region</td>
<td>7,743</td>
<td>12,728</td>
<td>14,430</td>
<td>19,878</td>
<td>19,155</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denali Borough</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairbanks North Star Borough</td>
<td>6,375</td>
<td>10,698</td>
<td>12,228</td>
<td>17,134</td>
<td>16,731</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Fairbanks Census Area</td>
<td>662</td>
<td>1,007</td>
<td>1,064</td>
<td>1,357</td>
<td>1,316</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Region</td>
<td>1,460</td>
<td>1,838</td>
<td>2,210</td>
<td>3,135</td>
<td>2,889</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nome Census Area</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>1,158</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Slope Borough</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Arctic Borough</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Region</td>
<td>7,166</td>
<td>11,089</td>
<td>12,340</td>
<td>16,093</td>
<td>14,919</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haines Borough</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>740</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoonah-Angoon Census Area</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juneau, City and Borough</td>
<td>2,635</td>
<td>4,216</td>
<td>4,785</td>
<td>6,559</td>
<td>6,191</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ketchikan Gateway Borough</td>
<td>1,367</td>
<td>2,159</td>
<td>2,350</td>
<td>3,077</td>
<td>2,870</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersburg Borough</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince of Wales-Hyder Census Area</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>1,091</td>
<td>1,430</td>
<td>1,320</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitka, City and Borough</td>
<td>1,008</td>
<td>1,363</td>
<td>1,502</td>
<td>1,872</td>
<td>1,753</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skagway Borough, Municipality</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrangell, City and Borough</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yakutat, City and Borough</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Region</td>
<td>2,370</td>
<td>3,255</td>
<td>3,699</td>
<td>5,205</td>
<td>4,833</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aleutians East Borough</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aleutians West Census Area</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethel Census Area</td>
<td>1,041</td>
<td>1,360</td>
<td>1,557</td>
<td>2,167</td>
<td>2,046</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristol Bay Borough</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dillingham Census Area</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kusilvak Census Area</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake and Peninsula Borough</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: 2020 to 2040 are projections.*

*Sources: U.S. Census Bureau; and Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section*
Prince of Wales town’s transition from cannery, logging booms

By ALYSHA GUTHRIE

Prince of Wales is the southernmost island in Southeast Alaska, rich with resources used for centuries by the Tlingit and Haida people. Though sparsely populated, it covers 2,577 square miles, making it the fourth-largest island in the United States.

The island’s largest incorporated city is Craig, a fishing village nestled on the west coast and sheltered from the open ocean by several islands. The closest, Shaan Da, or Fish Egg Island, is home to the area’s vital herring fishery.

Craig’s Tlingit name is Shaan Seet, named for the small strait between the city and Fish Egg Island. It’s also the name of the local Native corporation, which has more than 580 shareholders.

While the island has a road system that connects all its communities, Craig’s only commercial access is via small seaplane or boat. Klawock, which is about seven miles away, serves as access point and houses an Inter-Island Ferry Authority office as well as two regional airlines with planes that carry up to nine passengers. The ferry runs from Ketchikan to Hollis, a town about 30 miles across the island by road. (See the map on the next page.)

Craig had about 1,095 residents in 2018, down from 1,201 in 2010 and 1,397 in 2000. Even the 2000 count was a significant drop, however. Craig’s population grew through the 1990s before peaking in 1998 and 1999, then dropping the following year with the decline of logging. (See Exhibit 1.)

Craig Settled At Just Over 1,000 People After 2000

Craig population, 1990 to 2018 estimates

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section
The cannery and Craig’s early fishing boom

Craig’s modern economy began with fishing. The town was named for Craig Miller, who established a fish saltery on the island in 1907 followed by a cold storage plant and a packing company. By 1912, the town had a salmon cannery as well. Craig became a second-class city in 1922 and a first-class city in 1973.

Seacoast Packing Co. bought the Craig cannery in 1929, then folded in two other Prince of Wales plants the following year: the cannery near Karheen Creek and the Klawock cannery, which was built in 1878 and was Alaska’s first. The town’s population grew through the 1930s as pink salmon runs hit records.

At one time, the Craig cannery was known as “the heartbeat of town.” It was the primary source of residents’ income, and the town came to life each May for its seasonal opening. Things quieted down with the end of the purse-seining season.

Operations came to an abrupt end in 1957 when the cannery burned down, which was the fate of nearly half of all Southeast canneries between 1878 and 1949. The fires were caused by a range of factors, including crude and mostly wood construction, flammable heating oils and oily waste, boilers that operated at high temperatures and pressures, and inadequate fire suppression equipment.

According to the Alaska Historical Society, 134 canneries were built between those years, 65 burned and were not rebuilt, five burned and were rebuilt, 10 were moved to other sites, and some operations were consolidated. By 1949, Southeast had just 37 operating canneries.

The property, on which some buildings remained, was sold in 1959 and repurposed as a major maintenance and supply station in 1963. As fishing declined, these services became less necessary and eventually the property was for sale again. Ward Cove Packing acquired it in 1988, and in 2007, the city purchased the site for $1.75 million after eyeing it for several years for possible new harbor and support facilities.

Because of the cannery’s historical significance, many residents are happy to see it in local hands. The city is formulating its development plan, with the goal of balancing economic development, harbor use, public use, and historical preservation as well as working it into...
Logging took over as fishing dwindled

The town lost its booming fishing industry as well as its cannery in the 1950s as local salmon runs became depleted. Coinciding with the collapse of commercial fishing, the city signed a 50-year timber contract with the U.S. Forest Service.

The timber industry flourished over the next few decades, and Craig’s population grew steadily until the industry declined dramatically in Southeast in the late 1990s and 2000s. Without another industry to take logging’s place, many people left Craig.

Small-scale, family-owned sawmills specializing in value-added products continue to operate in the area, and fallers remain one of the top occupations in the city, at 13 workers in 2016. The last of Southeast’s large-scale mills also continues to operate, but its future remains uncertain with a decreasing timber supply.

Logging may have faded, but it left a permanent mark on the city. About 33 percent of the homes in Craig are trailers set up in the 1970s during the logging boom to accommodate the influx of workers.

Craig’s mix of industries today

Most workers in Craig have shifted to local government, health care, transportation, and commercial fishing. (See Exhibit 3. Note that it doesn’t include most commercial fishermen because they’re self-
Although the salmon runs haven’t reached the heights of the early 1900s, their numbers have rebounded and commercial fishing remains a major part of the city’s economy. Landed poundage has varied over the years, but the numbers of issued and fished permits has remained consistent. About 200 permits are fished in Craig each year. (See Exhibit 2.)

Subsistence fishing also plays a vital role for most residents, who harvest salmon, halibut, rockfish, herring, and shellfish as well as the occasional marine mammal — mainly harbor seals.

About 62 percent of Craig residents work, and about two-thirds of those are employed year-round. Median household income is lower than the state’s, at $62,826 in Craig versus $76,114 statewide.

The area’s unemployment rate runs higher than the state and the Southeast Region, and the seasonality of some of the island’s industries plays a role. These include charter sport fishing, construction, and agriculture, which is mostly logging but includes some hatchery employment. Prince of Wales’ average annual unemployment rate has averaged around 11 percent since 2010 and is currently close to 10 percent, while the Southeast Region and Alaska rates have fallen between 6 and 8 percent since 2010.

The area’s seasonal swings are larger, too. The Prince of Wales-Hyder Census Area’s unemployment peaks in the first quarter of the year and falls in the third. The state’s highs and lows are similar — February and August — although the difference is far less drastic than for Prince of Wales, at around 2 percent versus nearly 7 percent.

An increasingly older population

Another shift for Craig in recent years is that the median age of its population, which like most of Southeast was already older than the state overall, has continued to rise. In 2010, Craig’s median age was 36.2, which rose to 38.1 in 2018. Alaska’s median age increased from 33.8 to 35.2 over that period.

Craig’s racial makeup is similar to the state as a whole.

Sixty-six percent of residents are white, 20 percent are Alaska Native, 11 percent are of mixed race, and 2 percent are Asian. (See Exhibit 4.)

Alysha Guthrie a research analyst for Research and Analysis in Juneau. Reach her at (907) 465-6029 or alysha.guthrie@alaska.gov.
Gauging Alaska’s Economy

Job Growth

April 2019
Over-the-year percent change

Unemployment Rate

April 2019
Seasonally adjusted

Wage Growth

4th Quarter 2018
Over-the-year percent change

- After revisions to 2018 data, the state has registered over-the-year job gains for seven straight months after losing jobs for the prior 36 months.

- The gains are small but could signal the end of the state’s recession.

- U.S. job growth remains strong and has been positive since 2010, with the strongest growth in 2015.

- Alaska’s rate has been level at 6.5 percent for the last nine months.

- Unemployment rates are complicated economic measures and generally less telling at the state level than job or wage growth as indicators of broad economic health.

- Wages increased for the fifth consecutive quarter, and the growth has accelerated.

- Fourth quarter 2018 wages grew faster in Alaska than they did for the U.S. as a whole. It was the first time in years one of the three main gauges showed stronger performance for Alaska than for the nation.
Gauging Alaska’s Economy

**Initial Claims**
Unemployment, week ending May 11, 2019†

- 2,899
- 809
- 1,694

- 859

For a variety of reasons, initial claims are well below the 10-year average despite job losses.

†Four-week moving average ending with the specified week

**GDP Growth**
4th Quarter 2018
Over-the-year percent change

- 8%
- 4.1%

Gross domestic product is the value of the goods and services a state produces. Alaska’s GDP has grown for the last nine quarters after declining for 15 out of the prior 16.

**Personal Income Growth**
4th Quarter 2018
Over-the-year percent change

- 8%
- 4.4%

Personal income includes wages as well as transfer payments (such as Social Security, Medicaid, and the PFD) and investment income. Growth has resumed and is now well above the 10-year average.

**Change in Home Prices**
Single-family, 4th Qtr 2018
Over-the-year percent change

- 7%
- 0.6%

Home prices include only those for which a commercial loan is used. This indicator tends to be volatile from quarter to quarter.

**Foreclosure Rate**
4th Quarter 2018

- 0%
- 0.7%

- 0.9%

- 0.7%

Foreclosure rates remain very low, highlighting how different the state’s recent recession was from the ’80s recession when foreclosure rates exceeded 10 percent.

**Population Growth**
2017 to 2018

- 5%
- -0.2%

- 0.7%

The state’s population has remained mostly stable during the state’s recession, although 2018 was only the second year of small population declines since 1988.

**Net Migration**
2017 to 2018

- +20,000
- -7,577

- -2,225

- -20,000

The state had net migration losses for the sixth consecutive year in 2018, although natural increase (births minus deaths) offset those losses until 2017 and 2018.
Employment by Region

Percent change in jobs, April 2018 to April 2019

Unemployment Rates

Seasonally adjusted

Not seasonally adjusted

Regional, not seasonally adjusted

Corrections

Due to a formula error, some of the regions' year-ago unemployment rate comparisons were incorrect starting with 1/19 data. We have corrected these rates in the online versions of this year's press releases and in the April and May issues of Trends. We apologize for the error.
How Alaska Ranks

### Urban Alaska Consumer Price Index

**Current** | Year ago | Change
---|---|---
227.992 | 219.131 | +4.0%

**Commodity Prices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Year ago</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude oil, Alaska North Slope, *</td>
<td>$72.16</td>
<td>$71.03</td>
<td>+1.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas, residential, per thousand cubic feet</td>
<td>$10.29</td>
<td>$10.62</td>
<td>-3.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold, per oz. COMEX</td>
<td>$1,288.60</td>
<td>$1,294.80</td>
<td>-0.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver, per oz. COMEX</td>
<td>$14.42</td>
<td>$16.41</td>
<td>-12.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper, per lb. COMEX</td>
<td>$2.60</td>
<td>$3.07</td>
<td>-15.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc, per MT</td>
<td>$2,542.00</td>
<td>$3,029.00</td>
<td>-16.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead, per lb.</td>
<td>$0.81</td>
<td>$1.13</td>
<td>-28.32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bankruptcies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Year ago</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>+4.55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unemployment Insurance Claims**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Year ago</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial filings</td>
<td>4,930</td>
<td>5,688</td>
<td>-13.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued filings</td>
<td>34,326</td>
<td>46,130</td>
<td>-25.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claimant count</td>
<td>8,969</td>
<td>11,816</td>
<td>-24.09%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Federal, state, and local; includes public schools and universities

1April seasonally adjusted unemployment rates

2April employment, over-the-year percent change

Sources: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics and Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section

Other Economic Indicators

### How Alaska Ranks

- **Unemployment Rate**
  - 1st: Vermont (2.2%)
  - 50th: 6.5%

- **Job Growth**
  - 1st: Nevada (3.8%)
  - 50th: Louisiana (0.1%)

- **Government**
  - 1st: Vermont (2.6%)
  - 50th: N. Hampshire (-1.0%)

- **Construction**
  - 1st: Vermont (12.8%)
  - 50th: N. Hampshire (-1.0%)

**Notes:**

- Tied with Delaware, Kansas, and Pennsylvania
- Tied with Vermont and Virginia
- Tied with Minnesota, Pennsylvania, and Oklahoma

### Commodity Prices

- Crude oil, Alaska North Slope, * per barrel: $72.16 (April 2019), $71.03 (5% increase)
- Natural gas, residential, per thousand cubic feet: $10.29 (Feb 2019), $10.62 (3.11% decrease)
- Gold, per oz. COMEX: $1,288.60 (5/23/2019), $1,294.80 (0.48% decrease)
- Copper, per lb. COMEX: $2.60 (5/23/2019), $3.07 (15.31% decrease)
- Zinc, per MT: $2,542.00 (5/22/2019), $3,029.00 (16.08% decrease)
- Lead, per lb: $0.81 (5/23/2019), $1.13 (28.32% decrease)

### Bankruptcies

- Business: 101 (Q1 2019), 101 (0%)
- Personal: 92 (Q1 2019), 88 (4.55%)

### Unemployment Insurance Claims

- Initial filings: 4,930 (April 2019), 5,688 (13.33% decrease)
- Continued filings: 34,326 (April 2019), 46,130 (25.59% decrease)
- Claimant count: 8,969 (April 2019), 11,816 (24.09% decrease)

*Department of Revenue estimate

Sources for pages 14 through 17 include Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section; U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics; U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis; Kitco; U.S. Census Bureau; COMEX; Bloomberg; Infomine; Alaska Department of Revenue; and U.S. Courts, 9th Circuit.
dropped from 28 percent in 1970 to 14 percent in 1990, then went back up to 25 percent recently. The pattern was more muted nationally, going from 16 percent to 12 percent to 17 percent over the same period.

Labor force participation is higher overall in Alaska, and this holds for seniors even when we adjust for more in their 60s and early 70s. (See Exhibit 10 on page 8.)

Lower labor force participation and smaller household sizes (2.1 people compared to 2.8 for all Alaska households) are reflected in incomes. The median income for Alaska households headed by seniors was $59,041 in the American Community Survey 2013-2017 — higher than U.S. senior households ($41,876), but much lower than all Alaska households ($76,114). (See Exhibit 11.)

About half of senior-headed households in Alaska had earnings from a job — higher than the national rate of 37 percent, but still much lower than Alaska households overall (86 percent). The senior households in Alaska with earnings earned much less on average — $62,862 versus $90,061, respectively. Larger shares of senior households receive income from sources like Social Security (about 85 percent) and retirement accounts (55 percent), and this doesn’t include savings.

Poverty rates are lower for seniors in Alaska and nationwide. In Alaska, 5 percent of seniors fall below the federal poverty threshold versus 10 percent for all Alaskans. For U.S. seniors it’s just over 9 percent, which seems high compared to Alaska, but poverty data aren’t adjusted for cost of living.

Looking forward: Big increases everywhere until 2035

It’s easier to predict change in the 65-plus population than in younger populations. Migration rates are lower and more predictable, and mortality rates don’t vary much from year to year, so the current age structure shows what the future senior population will look like.

Alaska’s senior population will continue to increase, both numerically and as a share of the state, through the next decade and peak in the mid-2030s. (See Exhibit 2 on page 5.) After 2035, when we project the state will reach 138,000 seniors at just over 17 percent of the population, the senior share will decline with continued population aging as well as migration and mortality losses.

The U.S. Census Bureau projects a smaller shift for the national population, but its senior share will increase without interruption through the 2030s and past 2045, and remain above Alaska’s share.

Oldest age group to grow in the long term

Alaska’s 85-plus population will grow throughout the projection period and make up about 3.5 percent of the state in 2045, but it too will remain below the nationwide share. Although the percentage seems small, it would be nearly 30,000 elderly Alaskans — about the size of Juneau. This is an especially important population to prepare for, as disability and the need for care increase so much with age.

Steady growth for Alaska Native seniors

Alaska had 11,349 Alaska Native seniors as of July 2017, our latest estimate. That’s an increase from 8,555 in 2010, and we project Alaska will have 21,033 Native seniors in 2045.

Seniors currently account for about 8 percent of the Alaska Native population, up from 6 percent in 2010, and that’s projected to level off at 12 percent in the 2030s. In terms of Alaska’s total senior population, about 14 percent are Alaska Natives, down from 16 percent in 2010 but projected to reach 16 percent again by 2045. (See Exhibit 12 on page 9.)

Increases in every part of the state

Senior shares of the population will grow in all regions in the coming decades. (See Exhibit 13.)

Seniors are already 15 percent of the Southeast Region, and we project that share will reach 22 percent by 2030. Haines, Wrangell, and the communities that make up the Hoonah-Anangun Census Area are each projected to peak at more than 30 percent senior citizens — the highest shares in the state.

Sixteen percent of the Gulf Coast Region’s population was 65 or older as of 2018, which we project will hit 23 percent in 2030. Though the Kenai Peninsula currently has the region’s largest share, the Valdez-Cordova Census Area’s 2030 projection is largest at 24 percent.

While the remote regions of Southwest and Northern are younger and have high birth rates, their senior populations will increase as well. We expect the Northern Region’s share to reach 11 percent in 2030 before falling to 9 percent in 2040. Southwest is projected to reach 12 percent before dipping to 10 percent.

In the Interior Region, seniors represented 11 percent of the population in 2018, which we project will increase to 17 percent in 2030. The massive but sparsely populated Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area, which includes dozens of villages, has already reached 15 percent and is on track to reach 21 percent by 2030.

Eddie Hunsinger is the state demographer. Reach him in Anchorage at (907) 269-4960 or eddie.hunsinger@alaska.gov.
SAFETY MINUTE

How to prevent injuries and deaths from fireworks this July 4

In a single month in 2017, eight people were killed and an estimated 12,900 people were injured by homemade or professional-grade fireworks, with 8,700 of those treated in a U.S. hospital emergency room. The report released last June by the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission also found that 67 percent of the entire year's fireworks-related injuries happened between June 16 and July 16.

Most injuries came from sparklers, firecrackers, and aerial devices, and the most commonly injured body parts were hands and fingers (about 31 percent); head, face, and ears (22 percent); legs (17 percent); eyes (14 percent); and arms (6 percent).

Telephone follow-ups found that most injuries were caused by misuse or malfunctions. Misuses included holding fireworks in the hand, setting them off improperly, throwing lit fireworks at someone, dismantling or manufacturing fireworks, igniting fireworks too close to someone, igniting used “dud” fireworks, and placing fireworks too close to a heat source. Typical malfunctions included tip-overs, debris, errant flight paths, blowouts (explosions in the tube), and backfires such as sparks shooting from the back of the device.

While the sparkling lights and thunderous booms add to the thrill of an Independence Day celebration, the results can be deadly. If you decide to light them this July 4, purchase legal fireworks and take these safety steps:

• Make sure fireworks are legal in your area before buying or using them.
• Never allow young children to play with or ignite fireworks. Parents may not realize that young children suffer injuries from sparklers. Sparklers burn at about 2,000 degrees, which is hot enough to melt some metals.

• Always ensure an adult closely supervises if older children are allowed to handle devices.

• Avoid buying fireworks packaged in brown paper, because this is often a sign the fireworks were made for professional displays. The results can be deadly if consumers get their hands on professional fireworks.

• Never place any part of your body directly over a device when lighting the fuse. Back up to a safe distance immediately after lighting fireworks.

• Keep a bucket of water or a garden hose handy in case of fire.

• Never try to relight or handle malfunctioning fireworks. Soak them with water and throw them away.

• Never point or throw fireworks at another person.

• Light fireworks one at a time, then move back quickly.

• Never carry fireworks in a pocket or shoot them off in metal or glass containers.

• After fireworks fizzle out, douse the spent device with water from a bucket or hose before discarding it to prevent trash fires.

For the full CPSC report, visit https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/Fireworks_Report_2017.pdf?

Safety Minute is written by the Labor Standards and Safety Division of the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development.

EMPLOYER RESOURCES

Grant available to train incumbent workers to fight opioid abuse

The opioid crisis is wreaking havoc in Alaska, destroying families and adding fuel to an unprecedented crime wave. Opioid addiction crosses all demographics and is widespread, from Alaska's smallest villages to the largest cities.

The Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Division of Employment and Training Services, received more than $1.2 million in grant funds to help combat this crisis. The grant provides training and support service funds to Alaskans at risk of, or directly affected by, opioid abuse. It includes $300,000 to train 75 statewide incumbent workers who can help those affected by opioid addiction.

Training funds are available to employers to boost their workers' qualifications, credentials, or licensure to support Alaskans struggling with opioid addiction. Employers can select a training provider that best suits their workers' training needs. Training opportunities must address treating addiction or substance abuse, improving pain therapy or pain management techniques that prevent or reduce dependence on prescription painkillers, or increasing mental health treatment skills to decrease opioid addiction.

Eligible employers for this reimbursable training include for-profit businesses, nonprofits, and government agencies that have been operating for at least one year at the time of the application. The employer must commit to retain the incumbent workers who receive the training.

Employers can contact their local Alaska Job Center or email sandy.burgess@alaska.gov for more information. To learn more about incumbent worker training, visit labor.alaska.gov/dets/iwtp.

Employer Resources is written by the Employment and Training Services Division of the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development.