

experience in all the facets of electrical inspection made him well qualified to have a supervisory position over all inspections State-wide, as well as make actual inspection 5% of the time.

The evidence also showed that when Mr. Mockerman transferred, he made more inspections than he is presently doing. After a certain lag time from his old position to his new, Mr. Mockerman has become a supervisor practically 100% of the time. He is making inspections in Juneau only on an emergency basis.

Exhibit "B" showed that Mr. Mockerman's duties were to supervise activities of field inspectors, and exercise independent judgment in the duties of a supervisor. When Mockerman first took his new position, there were two actual inspectors for the entire State of Alaska, that number has at least doubled since that time. Southeastern Alaska is covered by a man presently residing in Petersburg, Alaska, previously Southeastern was covered by a man who resided in Ketchikan.

Because of the travel problems between the various areas that need inspection in Southeastern, and because Juneau does not have a resident inspector, Mr. Mockerman has made inspections when necessitated by a call by the municipal and State offices on an emergency basis.

This Agency has reviewed all the testimony presented and hereby DENIES the Petition for an unfair labor practice. The Agency has also looked at this case as a unit clarification case. We find

that Mr. Mockerman is properly in a supervisory unit.

This Agency finds that (1) Mr. Mockerman performs the duties of a supervisor by having substantial responsibility regularly to participate in the transferring, suspending, discharging, employing of employees.

(2) After his new appointment, Mockerman initially performed many of the duties of a routine electrical inspector. Since his new appointment he has gradually canceled those duties and has taken on the duties of a supervisor full-time.

(3) That the number of electrical inspectors in Local 71's unit has increased since the creation of Mockerman's position.

(4) There has not been a fragmentation of Local 71's unit.

We find, as a matter of law: That the Petition should be DENIED, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: Dec 30, 1982

C. R. "STEVE" HAFLING

DATED: _____

MORGAN REED

DATED: Jan 4th, 1983

Ronald M. Henry

[Signatures of Hafling and Henry on File]